

29 July 2010 [16-10]

APPLICATION – ADMINISTRATIVE ASSESSMENT

A1048	Date Received: 3 June 2010 Date Due for completion of Administrative Assessment: 28 June 2010 Date Administrative Assessment Completed: 18 June 2010			
Applicant: BASF Australia Pty Ltd via Robert Forbes & Associates			Potentially Affected Standards in the Code:	
Title: Co-extruded Polystyrene & PVPP as a Processing Aid			Std 1.3.3 and Std 1.3.4	
Brief Description of Application:				
To permit the use of an extrudate from polystyrene and cross-linked polyvinylpolypyrrolidone (PVPP) as a clarifying and adsorbent agent in beverages, specifically beer.				
Procedure: General		Estimated total hours:	Estimated start work: (expect to be a	
		up to 500 hrs		
		Reasons why:	paid application)	
Reasons why:		Simple safety and food technology	Forly July 2010	
The Applicant is	od regulatory	assessments	Early July 2010	
variation of a foo		Straight forward RIS expected		
measure to approve a new processing aid.		Simple risk management options		

DECISION

Application accepted

Date: 18 June 2010

Has the Applicant claimed Confidential Commercial Information status?

Yes No ✓

What documents are affected?

N/A

Is the Application for a High Level Health Claim?

Yes No ✓

If so, has the Applicant made an election to have FSANZ give public notice calling for submissions under s.51 of the FSANZ Act?

Yes No

Has the Applicant sought special consideration e.g. novel food exclusivity, two separate applications which need to be progressed together e.g. a novel food and a related high level health claim.

Yes No√

Details:

Charges

Does FSANZ consider that the application is subject to ECCB?

Yes No√

Does the Applicant want to expedite consideration of this Application?

Yes ✓ No Not known

Application Handbook Requirements

Which Guidelines within the Part 3 of the *Application Handbook* apply to this Application:

3.1 General Requirements and 3.3.2 Processing Aids

Does the Application meet the requirements of the relevant Guideline/s?

Yes√ No

Is the checklist completed?

Yes√ No

What information is not provided?

N/A

Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?

Yes√ No

Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted?

Yes No√

Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application?

Yes**√** No

If yes, indicate which Procedure:

General

Other Comments or Relevant Matters:

CONSULTATION & ASSESSMENT TIMEFRAME

Consultation Strategy:

Proposed length of public consultation period:

General Procedure (6 weeks)

Community Involvement Category:

5

Case of minor significance or complexity or with little adverse impact on individual interests

Proposed Timeframe for Assessment:

'Early Bird Notification' due: 29 July 2010

General Procedure:

Commence Assessment (clock start) 22 July 2010 Completion of Assessment Late Oct 2010

Public comment Early Nov – mid-Dec 2010

Board to complete Approval Mid-April 2011
Notification to Ministerial Council Late April 2011
Anticipated gazettal if no review requested Early July 2011